

## EFFICIENCY OF CERTAIN INSECTICIDES ON WHITEFLY LEAF CURL VIRUS AND THEIR RESIDUES IN TOMATO FRUITS

[65]

Soad, A. Ibrahim<sup>1</sup>; Nadra, M. Elias<sup>2</sup>; Ola, M.Y. Emar<sup>2</sup>;  
Fathy E. El-Adl<sup>1</sup> and M.KH. El-Sheemy<sup>2</sup>

### ABSTRACT

The work was conducted to clarify the efficiency of certain insecticides on the population of whitefly *B. tabaci* and incidence of yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV) in tomato field. The experiment was carried out during Nili plantation of two successive seasons at El-Fayoum governorate. The obtained data showed that the alternate use of etofenprox /thiamethoxam; imidacloprid/ thiamethoxam; acetamiprid/ etofenprox; single continuous application of etofenprox; etofenprox / imidacloprid; acetamiprid/ imidacloprid; thiamethoxam/ acetamiprid; imidacloprid; thiamethoxam and acetamiprid gave excellent initial mortality over 90% on the adult stage of *B. tabaci* and incidence of tomato yellow leaf curl virus without significant differences between treatments. Thiamethoxam as soil drench proved to be the most effective insecticide against adult and immature stages of whitefly, while acetamiprid achieved the lowest mortality for these two stages as well as short residual mortality. On the other hand, alternation of etofenprox / imidacloprid showed the highest initial mortality on nymphs. Residue levels in tomato fruits were also investigated at 30, 45 and 60 days after application of tested insecticides. For imidacloprid residues were found in amounts nearly above maximum residue levels MRLs 30 days while degraded to amounts below MRL by the progression of time to 45 and 60 days after application. Application of imidacloprid in alternate spray program with thiamethoxam; etofenprox; acetamiprid resulted in residues below MRL at the 3 preharvest intervals. Acetamiprid when used at the recommended rate showed the residue of 0.36 mg/kg at early season and then decreased to 0.08 mg/kg at late season. Alternate use of acetamiprid with thiamethoxam; etofenprox or imidacloprid reduce MRLs in tomato fruits. The successive applications of etofenprox alone at the recommended rate resulted in residues above MRL after 30 and 45 days and approximately near MRL at 60 days. On the other hand, the half rate in alternative use with imidacloprid; acetamiprid or thiamethoxam showed residues below MRL at all sampling intervals. Thiamethoxam when used in single successive applications gave residues of 2.5, 1.9 and 1.5 mg/kg at the 3 preharvest intervals, respectively.

---

1- Plant protection Res. Institute, Agric.Res. Center, Dokki, Egypt

2- Central Agricultural Pesticides Laboratory, Dokki, Giza; Egypt

(Received May 25, 2005)

(Accepted June 13, 2005)

Soad; Nadra; Ola; Fathy and El-Sheemy

**Key words:** Insecticides, Tomato fruits, Residues, HPLC, GC, (Gas chromatography)

## INTRODUCTION

Tomato, *Lycopersicum esculentum* Mill is one of the main important vegetable crops in Egypt and in the world. The whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) (Hemiptera Aleyrodidae) is considered as the major insect pest attacking tomato, especially at Nili plantation in Egypt. It causes direct damage to tomato plants by sucking the plant juice in addition to indirect damage by transmitting tomato yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV) (Shaheen, 1976). It causes severe loss of tomato production that reaches 90% (Banerjee and Kalloo, 1987). The highly reduction of tomato yield inducing by TYLCV depends on several factors especially the age of plants at time of infestation (Turkoglu, 1978) and planting season (Mazyd et al 1969). The usage of insecticides to control whitefly must give a high insect mortality with low mammalian toxicity within the first two months after plantation (Omar et al 1996) and (El-Bessomy et al 1997).

According to the Ministry of agriculture of Egypt, acetamiprid, imidacloprid, thiamethoxan and etofenprox insecticides are recommended for use against insects infesting vegetables, lettuces, cabbages, tomato etc. These insecticides are characterized by high control efficacy, low toxicity and short residual activity. The necessity of successive, consecutive and repeated pesticide applications may lead to the accumulation of their residues to levels

considerably higher than the maximum residue levels (MRLs) accepted by JMPR. Accordingly the residue levels persisting on tomato fruits should be evaluated to assure that they are not exceeded the MRLs and establish pre-harvest intervals (PHI) (CAC/PR, 1999).

The present investigation aimed to throw light on the efficacy of insecticides applied in single and alternating applications on tomato plants against adult and nymphs of whitefly and incidence of tomato yellow leaf curl virus. Also the levels of applied insecticide residues in tomato fruits and the pre-harvest intervals (PHI) for safely consumption were determined.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Experiments were conducted to study the efficacy of certain insecticides which are used either as single application for several times or by alternating applications against adults and nymphs of whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) and of tomato yellow leaf curl virus. In addition, the residue levels of tested insecticides in harvested tomato fruits were determined in order to evaluate the preharvest intervals (PHI) for safety consumption.

### 1. Experimental design

The seeds of Al-Kods E448 tomato variety were planted in a good coverage greenhouse nursery on June 22<sup>th</sup> Nili

---

1- Plant protection Res. Institute, Agric.Res. Center, Dokki, Egypt

2- Central Agricultural Pesticides Laboratory, Dokki, Giza; Egypt

(Received May 25, 2005)

(Accepted June 13, 2005)

plantation and transplanted in the open field on July 22<sup>th</sup> at 2002 and 2003 seasons at El-mandra village, El-Fayoum governorate. An area of one feddan was cultivated with Al-Kods E448 variety of tomato and divided into plots about 80 m<sup>2</sup> each. The plots received the normal agricultural practices except insecticide treatments. Each treatment and the check were randomly replicated four times in completely randomized block.

## 2. Insecticides, Application and Sampling

### a) The following insecticides were used

Etofenprox: (2-(4-ethoxyphenyl)-2-methyl propyl-3-phenoxybenzyl ether) selected trade name "Trebon" 30% EC produced by (Minsui Toatus) was used as foliar application at 250 ml / Fed.

Imidacloprid: (1-(6-chloropyridylmethyl)-N-nitroimidazolidin-2-ylidene neamine) selected trade name "Admire" 20% SC produced by (Bayer) was used as foliar application at 500 ml / Fed.

Acetamiprid: [(N<sup>1</sup> [(6-chloro-3-pyridyl) methyl] -N<sup>2</sup>-cyano-N<sup>1</sup>-methyl acetamidine) selected trade name "Mospilan" 20% SP produced by (Nippon Soda) was used as foliar application at 100 ml/Fed.

Thiamethoxam: (3-(2-chloro-1,3-thiazol-5-ylmethyl)-5-methyl-1,3,5-oxadiazinon-4-ylidene nitro selected trade name "Actra" 25% WG Produced by (Novartis) was used as soil drench at 350 g/Fed.

### b) The following sequence applications of pesticide treatments were carried

### out into three times for each component

etofenprox / imidacloprid.

etofenprox /acetamiprid.

etofenprox/thiamethoxam.

imidacloprid /thiamethoxam.

imidacloprid / acetamiprid .

thiamethoxam / acetamiprid.

The insecticides etofenprox (Trebon), imidacloprid (Admire) and acetamiprid (Mospilan) were sprayed as foliar spray six times at regular intervals of seven days, and three times through the alternate sequential programme by using knapsack sprayers. Sprays started one week after transplantation. Thiamethoxam (Actra) was used as soil drench into 3 times at 14 day intervals, started one week after transplanting. The check plot was sprayed with water. The population of adult stage of whitefly on treated foliage was counted just before spray and then after 1, 3, 5 and 7 days. 75 leaves representing the three levels of the plant were replicated. The numbers of adults were examined in soil drench treatment and were counted before and at 1, 3,5,7,10,12 and 14 days after treatment. For each treatment a sample of 300 leaves was randomly picked up from the three plant levels. Each replicate was represented by 75 leaves, kept in paper bag and directly transferred to the laboratory for examination by the aid of stereo-microscope. In addition, the nymphs of whitefly were counted and recorded before and at 2, 5 and 7 days from each spray in foliar spray treatments. While in the treatment of soil drench, the nymphs were counted before and at 2,5,7,10,12 and 14 days after treatment.

After 30 and 60 days from transplantation the symptoms of tomato yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV) on tomato plants were examined and recorded in the field. The obtained data were analyzed by using **Henderson and Tilton method (1955)** and Chi square **Gomez and Gomez (1984)**. Representative samples of tomato fruits were randomly picked up at harvest time at three intervals of 30,45 and 60 days after the last insecticide application, kept in polyethylene bags at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  for residue analysis.

### 3. Residue Analysis of insecticides

**3.1. Acetamiprid:** Residues were extracted according to the method of **(Masanori and Takeshi, 1994)**. Tomato sub samples of 20 g were homogenized with 100 ml methanol, the homogenate was filtered. The filtrate was shaken with 10 ml saturated sodium chloride solution and 100ml hexane, the hexane layer was discarded. The aqueous methanol was extracted with 100ml dichloromethane. The dichloromethane layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The extract was concentrated to near dryness under reduced pressure; the residue was dissolved in the proper volume of methanol for clean up by thin layer chromatography (TLC) using eluting solvent of methanol+ water (8+2,v/v). The residue was dissolved in the proper volume of methanol for GC analysis.

**3.2. Imidacloprid:** Residues were extracted from the collected samples according to the method of **(Blass, 1990)**. A 20 g of the samples were homogenized with 100 ml acetonitrile. The extract was filtered and then evaporated under

reduced pressure. The aqueous remained layer was shaken with 10 ml of saturated sodium chloride solution and 100ml hexane, the hexane layer was discarded. The aqueous phase was reextracted with 100 ml of dichloromethane; the lower dichloromethane layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, then concentrated near dryness under reduced pressure. Residues were cleaned up through a florisil column, which was eluted with acetonitrile. The eluate was concentrated just to dryness and the residue was dissolved in the proper volume of methanol for HPLC analysis.

**3.3. Etofenprox:** Residues were extracted and cleaned up according the method of **(Takeshi and Kazuhiro, 1985)**. 20 gm of the analytical samples were mixed with 100 ml acetone and homogenized, the extract was filtered and then concentrated under reduced pressure. 10 ml of saturated sodium chloride was added and well mixed. The aqueous layer was extracted with 100ml hexane. The hexane extract was dehydrated over anhydrous sodium sulfate, then concentrated near dryness using rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. Clean up of residue was done trough a florisil column chromatograph, then eluted with 70 ml of hexane:ethyl ether (95:5v/v). The eluate was concentrated near dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in the proper volume of methanol for GC analysis.

**3.4. Thiamethoxam:** Residues were extracted according to **(Nasr, et al 2003)**. 20 g of the prepared sample was homogenized with 100 ml methanol, the homogenate was filtered. The filtrate was successively shaken with 3 x 50 ml Of methylene chloride after adding 10 ml of

saturated sodium chloride solution. The methylene chloride phase was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and then evaporated near dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in 5 ml methanol and cleaned up according to **(Johnson, 1963)** using coagulating solution (0.5 g ammonium chloride and 1ml 85% orthophosphoric acid solution in 400 ml distilled water). The residue was mixed with 10 ml of cooled freshly prepared coagulating solution and the contents were quantitatively transferred and filtered through a chromatographic column of 2.5 cm diameter packed with a 5cm layer of Hyflo super cell. This washing was repeated three times using 5 ml methanol and 10 ml coagulating solution each time. The filtrate was collected and extracted with 3x50 ml chloroform. The chloroform extracts were evaporated just to dryness under vacuum. The residues were dissolved in the proper volume for GC analysis.

#### 4. Chromatographic Techniques

Hewlett-Packard gas chromatograph with flame ionization detector, fitted with packed column (3% OV101) on Chromosorb WHP 80/100 mesh, 120 feet was used. Operating conditions for acetamiprid residues: Oven  $t^{\circ}$ : 220°C, injection port  $t^{\circ}$ : 275°C and detector: 300°C, the retention time of acetamiprid is about 1.95 min. Operating conditions for etofenprox residues: oven  $t^{\circ}$ : 220°C, injection port  $t^{\circ}$  250°C and detector  $t^{\circ}$ : 275°C. The retention time of etofenprox is about 3.661 min. Operating conditions for thiamethoxam residues: Oven  $t^{\circ}$  175°C, injection port  $t^{\circ}$  255°C and detector  $t^{\circ}$  285°C. The retention time of thiamethoxam is about 3.005 min. High

performance liquid chromatograph equipped with a photodiode array detector using an Inertsil ODS-column (150 x 4.6 mm i.d.) with a gradient system of acetonitrile: water (60/40) (v/v) as the mobile phase at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min. The retention time recorded for imidacloprid is about 3.027 min.

#### 5. Recovery and limit of detection (LOD)

The recoveries were determined using fortifying samples in which defined amounts of active ingredients are added to blank samples prior the extraction. The imidacloprid recovery percentage mean was 112.7%, for acetamiprid 90%, for thiamethoxam 89.2% and for etofenprox 72%. Pesticide residues are calculated as ppm (mg/kg) proportionate to the mean sample response from the duplicate injections to the mean standard response. At least one untreated sample must be analyzed alongside any set of samples, this to ensure that no contamination of the samples occurred prior to, or during the analysis. The mean percentage recovery value obtained Table (3) is used to correct residues determined in the samples.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 1. Initial and residual efficiency against adult stage of whitefly

As for initial efficiency of data in Table (1) showed that all tested insecticides achieved high initial corrected mortality percent over 90% on the adult stages of whitefly during the two seasons. The sequential treatments by alternative etofenprox / thiamethoxam pesticides showed the highest initial corrected mortality percentage at 94.6

and 93.9% in 2002 and 2003 seasons respectively. The single application of acetamiprid caused 90.7% and 90.2% at the same two seasons respectively. These results are in agreement with those of **(Farrag *et al* 1994)**. They reported that etofenprox gave 90.98% mortality after one day on adult stage of *B. tabaci*

infesting cabbage plants. Statistical analysis by using Chi-square parameter showed insignificant differences between the tested insecticides during the two seasons.

As for Residual activity data in the same table indicate that thiamethoxam gave the highest residual mortality after

- 
- 1- Plant protection Res. Institute, Agric.Res. Center, Dokki, Egypt
  - 2- Central Agricultural Pesticides Laboratory, Dokki, Giza; Egypt

**(Received May 25, 2005)**

**(Accepted June 13, 2005)**

3-14 days on adult stage of whitefly reaching 90.2% and 90.1% in 2002 and 2003 seasons respectively. On the other hand, acetamiprid showed the lowest residual corrected mortality percentage of 73.8% and 73.6% in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons respectively. **(El-Bessomy *et al* 1997)** stated that imidacloprid gave excellent control on the adult of whitefly infesting tomato plants. Statistical analysis showed that tested insecticides could be grouped into two categories according to their efficiency on whitefly adults during the two seasons. The 1<sup>st</sup> category included the most effective insecticides being thiamethoxam, imidacloprid / thiamethoxam, etofenprox / thiamethoxam, imidacloprid, etofenprox / acetamiprid, acetamiprid / imidacloprid and acetamiprid / etofenprox while the 2<sup>nd</sup> category included acetamiprid.

## 2. Initial and residual efficiency on immature stages

As for initial activity of data in Table (2) showed that sequential applications of etofenprox /imidacloprid, imidacloprid and etofenprox caused great mortality percent over 90% against immature stages of whitefly after 24 hrs. On the other hand thiamethoxam as soil drench gave 63.17 and 62.9% mortality after 48 hrs in 2002 and 2003 seasons respectively. Statistical analysis showed significant differences between the tested insecticides. Insecticides could be grouped into three categories based on their initial activities. The 1<sup>st</sup> group

included etofenprox/ imidacloprid, imidacloprid, etofenprox, acetamiprid/imidacloprid, acetamiprid / etofenprox and acetamiprid. The 2<sup>nd</sup> group represented imidacloprid / thiamethoxam, etofenprox/ thiamethoxam, and thiamethoxam/acetamiprid while the 3<sup>rd</sup> group included thiamethoxam. **(El-Bessomy *et al* 1997)** reported that imidacloprid gave 91.49 % mortality on immature stages of whitefly infesting tomato plants.

As for Residual activity data in the same table showed that thiamethoxam achieved the highest mortality reaching 90.8% and 90.6% in 2002 and 2003 seasons respectively. Sequential treatments showed that imidacloprid / thiamethoxam gave the highest corrected mortality percentage into 89.5 and 89.9 % in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons respectively. On the contrary acetamiprid showed the least mortality percent of 64.1 % and 63.9% were recorded during the same seasons respectively. Statistical analysis showed significant differences between the tested insecticides during the two seasons. Insecticides could be grouped into two categories according to their efficiency in controlling immature stages of *B. tabaci*. The 1<sup>st</sup> category included thiamethoxam, imidacloprid / thiamethoxam, imidacloprid, etofenprox / imidacloprid, etofenprox / thiamethoxam, imidacloprid, etofenprox / imidacloprid, thiamethoxam / acetamiprid and acetamiprid / imidacloprid, while the 2<sup>nd</sup> category included etofenprox,

---

1- Plant protection Res. Institute, Agric.Res. Center, Dokki, Egypt

2- Central Agricultural Pesticides Laboratory, Dokki, Giza; Egypt

**(Received May 25, 2005)**

**(Accepted June 13, 2005)**

acetamiprid / etofenprox and acetamiprid.

### **3. Effect on the incidence of virus symptoms**

Data in Table (1) showed that the tested insecticides reduced significantly

the number of plants with virus symptoms compared with the untreated ones. Etofenprox / thiamethoxam sequences gave the lowest incidence of virus symptoms amounted 3.9 % and 3.8% in 2002

- 
- 1- Plant protection Res. Institute, Agric.Res. Center, Dokki, Egypt
  - 2- Central Agricultural Pesticides Laboratory, Dokki, Giza; Egypt

**(Received May 25, 2005)**

**(Accepted June 13, 2005)**

Table 3. Recovery % of the tested insecticides from tomato fortified at different levels

Insecticides used	No of recoveries at specified level in mg/kg	Recoveries % ± SD
Imidacloprid	3 x 0.01	120.4 ± 3.5
	3 x 0.05	105.9 ± 4.7
	3 x 0.1	111.8 ± 5.2
Mean		112.7
Acetamiprid	3 x 0.01	92.5 ± 3.4
	3 x 0.05	87.4 ± 6.5
	3 x 0.1	90.1 ± 7.5
Mean		90.0
Thiamethoxam	3 x 0.01	92.6 ± 1.2
	3 x 0.05	90.5 ± 0.7
	3 x 0.1	84.5 ± 6.8
Mean		89.2
Etofenprox	3 x 0.05	73.4 ± 0.45
	3 x 0.01	70.6 ± 4.3
	3 x 0.1	70.0 ± 3.1
Mean		72.0

and 2003 seasons respectively. Statistical analysis showed no significant difference between the tested insecticides. Such results are in agreement with that obtained by (Hayder *et al* 1995) who reported that there are interrelation between whitefly and the incidence of tomato yellow leaf curl virus.

#### 4. Determination of residue levels

Data in Table (4) indicated that imidacloprid residue levels in tomato fruits which were treated by the recommended rate of foliar application as single and successive application were found at MRLs after 30 days (early season) and below the limit after 45 and

60 days (mid and late seasons). The MRLs which were established by different countries like Brazil, Portugal and Turkey is 0.5 mg/kg CAC/PR 2002. (Draeger *et al* 1989) investigated the metabolism of [ $C^{14}$ -methylene] imidacloprid in tomato, apples and potatoes after spray application with solution of imidacloprid 25 % WP at a rate of 250 g ai /ha. The total radiolabelled residue levels decreased from 1.01 ppm after 4 days of application to be 0.84 and 0.85 ppm after 7 and 14 days respectively.

But, residues of 0.64 ppm was detected in the post harvest samples (21 days). The easy way to avoid residues above MRLs is to reduce insecticide rate,

by spraying plants every 7 days with  
either imidacloprid or acetamiprid in

alternation. By decreasing the  
recommended

- 
- 1- Plant protection Res. Institute, Agric.Res. Center, Dokki, Egypt
  - 2- Central Agricultural Pesticides Laboratory, Dokki, Giza; Egypt

**(Received May 25, 2005)**

**(Accepted June 13, 2005)**

Table 4. Residues of acetamiprid, imidacloprid, etofenprox and thiamethoxam in tomatoes in single, successive and alternating applications

Compounds used	Residues detected in mg/kg at different intervals after last application		
	30 days	45 days	60 days
Imidacloprid	0.65± 0.7	0.37± 0.36	0.17± 0.06
Acetamiprid	0.35± 0.1	0.24± 0.01	0.08± 0.3
Thiamethoxam	2.5± 0.5	1.9± 0.6	1.50± 0.15
Etofenprox	1.9± 0.8	1.7± 0.5	0.53± 0.3
Imidacloprid/Etofenprox	0.27± 0.05 (0.8±0.4)	0.20± 0.05 (0.75±0.25)	0.08± 0.02 (0.35±0.11)
Imidacloprid/Thiamethoxam	0.29±0.07 (1.2± 0.7)	0.15±0.03 (0.8± 0.4)	0.09±0.04 (0.5± 0.2)
Acetamiprid/ thiamethoxam	0.20±0.5 (0.25±0.5)	0.11±0.7 (0.18±0.6)	0.05±0.6 (0.1±0.02)
Acetamiprid/ Etofenprox	0.19± 0.02 (0.9±0.41)	0.15± 0.04 (0.72±0.11)	0.08± 0.03 (0.25±0.05)
Etofenprox/ thiamethoxam	1.11 ± 0.4 (0.21±0.5)	0.91 ± 0.7 (0.15±0.08)	0.71 ± 0.1 (0.07±0.02)
Imidacloprid/Acetamiprid	0.32± 0.4 (0.22±0.02)	0.25± 0.3 (0.12 ±0.06)	0.1± 0.03 (0.05 ±0.01)

Results are presented as mean ± SD.

Residues of alternating compounds are listed between brackets.

rate of imidacloprid to the half rate, residue levels in tomatoes (Table, 4) were found under MRLs at early, mid and late seasons. Similar MRLs reduction were recorded for alternate sequential treatments of imidacloprid or etofenprox and imidacloprid or thiamethoxam. (Draeger *et al* 1989) investigated the metabolism of imidacloprid as foliar

application in tomatoes and apples, acropetal translocation was demonstrated 14 days after application, radioactivity in fruits accounted for 0.003 to 0.006 mg/kg parent compound equivalents also 11 metabolites were identified by TLC and H-NMR and mass spectrometry. As for acetamiprid 20% SP, data in the same table indicated that residue levels in

1- Plant protection Res. Institute, Agric.Res. Center, Dokki, Egypt

2- Central Agricultural Pesticides Laboratory, Dokki, Giza; Egypt

(Received May 25, 2005)

(Accepted June 13, 2005)

tomatoes that received the recommended rate in single and successive applications, were found relatively low (0.35 mg/kg) at early season, while decreased to 0.08 mg/kg at late season. The high disappearance rate of acetamiprid could be referred to its high degradation rate. (CAC/PR, 2002).

Using half rate of application with either acetamiprid or imidacloprid in alternation, acetamiprid or etofenprox in alternation and acetamiprid or thiamethoxam resulted in low MRLs of acetamiprid. No MRL was established in Codex Alimentarius Committees. For etofenprox 30% EC as non ester pyrethroid insecticide when used by foliar application in single and successive applications on tomato plants showed levels of 1.9, 1.7 and 0.53 mg/kg at 30, 45 and 60 days after last application. Residue levels were above MRLs after 30 and 45 days compared with those established by different countries i.e. Japan, Spain and Italy is 0.5 mg/kg (Tomoda, 1985a and b) Half rate of this insecticide resulted in residues below MRL at the three considered intervals. In the case of thiamethoxam 25 % WG a new generation neonicotinoid was applied as soil drench at the recommended rate used in 3 single successive applications/ season and into 14 day intervals. Tomato fruits picked at early, mid and late season showed residue levels 2.5, 1.9 and 1.5 mg/kg respectively. The alternate use of thiamethoxam or imidacloprid, thiamethoxam or acetamiprid and

thiamethoxam or etofenprox resulted in thiamethoxam residues decline with time elapsed, reaching 0.07 mg/kg with etofenprox or thiamethoxam in alternation. Maximum residue level (MRL) of thiamethoxam did not exist in Codex Alimentarius Committees.

## REFERENCES

- Banerjee, M.K. and G. Kalloo (1987).** Sources and inheritance to leaf curl virus in Lycopersicon. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, **73: 707-710.**
- Blass, W. (1990).** Method for the determination of imidacloprid residues in plant materials using HPLC and UV detection. Bayer AG, Crop Protec. Res., Chemical Product Development and Environ. *Biology Institute for Product Information and Residue Analysis. (Technical Report, Method 00171, 1990).*
- Codex Alimentarius Committee for Pesticide Residue (CAC/PR) (1999).** Guide to Codex Recommendation Concerning Pesticide Residues. Maximum limit for pesticide residues. **FAO/WHO.**
- Codex Alimentarius Committee for Pesticide Residue (CAC/PR) (2002).** Guide to Codex Recommendation Concerning Pesticide Residues. Maximum limit for pesticide residues. **FAO/WHO.**
- Draeger, W.; G. Bernatsh and A. Brauner (1989).** Metabolism in tomatoes. *Bayer AG. Report No PF 3257.*

1- Plant protection Res. Institute, Agric. Res. Center, Dokki, Egypt

2- Central Agricultural Pesticides Laboratory, Dokki, Giza; Egypt

(Received May 25, 2005)

(Accepted June 13, 2005)

- Date 1989-10-12.** (Ammended: 1991-05-08).
- El-Bessomy, M.A.E.; M.H.M. El-Khawalka; H.I.H. Omar and H.M. El-Magraby (1997).** Effect of the fungal insecticide biofly compared with chemical insecticide in controlling different stages of whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) and its related virus. *Egypt. Agric. Res.* 75 (4) : 915 -921.
- Farrag, R.M.; Fawzia, K. Kotb and Neima, I. Noussier (1994).** Factors affecting the chemical control of the whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* on cabbage plants. *J. Agric. Res.* 39(3): 307-316.
- Gomez., K.A. and A.A. Gomez (1984).** *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research 2<sup>nd</sup>. Ed.* John Wiley and Sons. New York, U.S.A.
- Hayder, M.F.; H. Abd El-Wahab,; A. Mona Ahmed and Shahinaz, E. El-Deeb (1995).** Effect of tomato planting dates during Nili plantation on *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) population and its relation to TYLCV as a limiting factor of crop production. *1<sup>st</sup> Int. Conf. of Pest Control. Mansoura, Egypt, pp.* 333-338.
- Henderson, C.F. and W.W. Tilton (1955).** Test with acaricides against the brown wheat mite. *J. Econ. Ent.* 48: 157-161.
- Johnson, D.P. (1963).** Determination of seven insecticides in fruits and vegetables. *J.A.O.A.C.:* 234-238.
- Masanori, Tokieda and Takeshi Gomyo (1994).** *Residue Analytical Method of Insecticide N-25 in Crops.* Parent method. Rotary report No.EC-521, May 23, 1994. Nippon Soda Co., LTD. Tokyo, Japan.
- Mazyd, H.M.; K.R. Stino; A.A. Radwan, and Nour El-Din (1969).** Effect of tobacco mosaic virus on growth chemical constituents and yield of tomato plants. *Agric. Res. Rev., Cairo, 74: 87-90.*
- Nasr, I.N.; Nevein, S. Ahmed and M.M. Al-Maz (2003).** Effect of boiling and some environmental factors of penconazole fungicide on vine leaves. *Annals Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo 48(1): 365-372.*

- Omar, H.I.H.; H.M. El-Maghraby; M.H.M. El-Khawalka and M.A. El-Bessomy (1996).** Effect of different rates of the antifeeder pyme- trozine on various stages of the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) and aphids on tomato plants. *Alex. Sci. Exch.*, 17(3): 271-276.
- Shaheen, A.H. (1976).** Control of the whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) the main insect factor transmitting tomato leaf curl virus diseases. *Annals Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, Egypt* 6: 23-245.
- Takeshi Iamoto and Kazuhiro Komatsu, (1985).** Residue determination of etofenprox in or on tomatoes treated with etofenprox 20% EC. *Japan Food Research Laboratories. Tokyo, Japan. (Rotary Report May, 1985)*
- Tomoda, K. (1985 a).** Metabolism study of etofenprox. Metabolism in rice plants. *Mitsui-Toatsu Chemicals, Inc. Tokyo, Japan.*
- Tomoda, K. (1985 b).** Metabolism study of etofenprox. Metabolism in bean plants. *Mitsui-Toatsu Chemicals, Inc. Tokyo, Japan.*
- Turkoglur, T. (1978).** Effect of virus infestation time on yield of five tomato varieties. *J. Turk. Phytopath.* 7: 33-37.

977 - 963 ، 13(3) ، 2005 ، مرسن ي ع ع م ا ج ع ي ع ار ز ل ن و ح ب ل ن ا س ار د ق ل ي ب ر ع ل ت ا ع م ا ج ل د ا ح ت ا ق ل ج م ، 2005

## سوري فعوضي بل قباب بذل ادقوي رش حلتا ادي بملض ع قبال عاف مظامطل ارام ثل عفا ي ق بت موق اروا ل دا ع ج ت

[65]

2- قرام فوسوي دم حم ال ع - سقاي ل ا رج م قردان - م لي ه ا ر ب ا دم حم ا ع س

ي ج م ي ش ل ل ي ر ي خ دم حم - ل د ع ل د ي ع ج ح ت ف

قردا ق ل ا ق ز ي ج ل ا - ع ي ع ار ز ل ن و ح ب ل ا ز ك ي ت م ا ت ا ب ن ل ا م ي ا ق و ث و ح ب د م ع م - 1

قردا ق ل ا ق ز ي ج ل ا ي ق د ل ا ع ي ع ار ز ل ن و ح ب ل ا ز ك ر م ت ل د ي ب م ل ل ي ز ك ر م ل م ع م ل ا - 2

موي فل ا قظ ف ا ح م ق ب ر ج ت ل ا ه ذ ه ا ر ج ا م ت  
ر ي ا م ن ق ي ر ش ح ل ت ا ا د ي ب م ق ل ي ل ع ا ف ق س ا ر د ل  
ق ن ا ب ذ ق ر ش ح ذ ر ل ا ت ل ن ا و ب ي ر ت ن ل ل ي ب س و م  
2- د ع ج ت س ر ي ف ر و ه ط و ا ض ي ب ل ا م ط ا م ط ل ا  
ل د ا ب ت ل و ا ي ث ا ت ك ل ذ ل ي ف ص ا ل ا م ط ا م ط ل ا ق ا ر و ا  
ق و ر ع ل ا ل و ق ح ي ف ت ا د ي ب م ل ا ق ن ا ب ا ت ت ل ا  
2003 و 2002 م س و ق ي ل ل ي ن ل ا

ي ل ي ا م ه ي ل ل ع ص ح ت م ط ا ت ن ل ت ح ص و ا

1- ق و ع ا ب ا ت م ل ق ل د ا ب ت م ل ت ا د ي ب م ل ا ت ط ع ا  
ا ر ت ك ا / ر ي ا م د ا ، ا ر ت ك ا ن و ب ي ر ت  
ق ي د ر ف ل ا ق م ل ن و ب ي ر ت ن ل ل ي ب س و م  
ن ا ل ي ب س و م ، ر ي ا م ل ن و ب ي ر ت ن و ب ي ر ت  
ر ي ا م ق ا ل ل ي ب س و م / ا ر ت ك ا ر ي ا م د ا /  
ق د ا ب ي ت ر ت ل ا ي ل ع ا ل ب ي س و م ، ا ر ت ك ا  
ق ل م ا ك ل ا ق ر ش ح ل ا ي ل ع ق ز ا ت م ق ي ر و ف  
ن ح ر ث ك ا ي ل ا ت ل ص ر و ل و ي ب ل ي ا ب ذ ل  
ل ج س ك ل ذ ك ب ت ي و ن ع ق و ر ف ن و د ب % 90  
4- ق ا ر و د ع ج ت ص ر م ب ق ن ا ص ا ل ا ر و ه

ق ي و ن ع م ق و ر ف ن و د ي و ف ص ا ل ا م ط ا م ط ل ا  
2002 ي م س و م ل ا ل خ ت ا ل م ا ع م ل ل ي ب  
2003 و .

ر ث ك ا ق ب ر ت ق ل م ا ع م ك ا ر ت ك ل د ي ب م ن ا ك  
ق ل م ا ك ل ا ق ر ش ح ل ا ي ل ع ق ي ل ع ا ف ت ا د ي ب م ل ا  
ي ط ا ع ن ي ب ع ا ض ي ب ل ي ا ب ذ ل ا ي ر و ح و  
ه ذ ه ي ل ع ق د ا ب ا ل ق ن ا ل ب ي س و م ذ ي ب م  
2002 ي م س و م ل ا ل خ ي ر ش ح ل ا ر ا و ط ا ل ا  
2003 و .

ن و ب ي ر ق ت د ي ب ع ب ا ت م ل د ا ب ت ل ا ي ط ع ا  
ق ي ر و ف ق د ا ب ا ق ب س ن ي ل ع ا ر ي ا م د ا /  
ي م س و م ل ا ل خ (%) 92.9 و (%) 99.2  
ا م ن ق ي ب ي ت ر ت ل ا ي ل ع 2003 و 2002  
ق ي ر و ف ق د ا ب ا ل ق ا ر ت ك ا ل ي ب م ي ط ع ا  
ي م س و م ل ا ل خ (%) 62.9 و (%) 63.1  
ت ا ي ر و ج ل ي ت ر ت ل ا ي ل ع 2003 و 2002  
ء ا ض ي ب ل ي ا ب ذ ل ا

ر ا م ث ي ف ت ا د ي ب م ل ا ي ق ب ت م و ي د ق ت م ت  
ت ا ل م ا ع م ل ا ت ر ح ط ا ذ ق ل و م ط ا م ط ل ا

1- Plant protection Res. Institute, Agric. Res. Center, Dokki, Egypt

2- Central Agricultural Pesticides Laboratory, Dokki, Giza; Egypt

(Received May 25, 2005)

(Accepted June 13, 2005)

تم د-خست اى بتل اوقادى بم له يدرفل ا  
 جم ان رب القف واهبى ص وم لتال د-ع عمل اب  
 نا -ع ارزلا قرا زولت افا لة حفا كم  
 دى ربول ك ادي م ا دى بم تاى قى بت م  
 (ن ال-ب س و م) بى م ا تى س ا, (رى ا م ا)  
 داص حل ة رت فى فم جك/م جل لم 0.53 س ك و ر ب ن ي ف و تى او (ار ح م ك ل ل) س ك و تى م ا ي ت  
 عم ج ل ل و الق ر ت ف ل ا ل ف ل ن و-بى ر ت  
 0.65 ت ن ا ك (ا م وى 30) ل و ص ح م ل ا  
 ل ع ا ي و ت س م ل ا م ه ذ ت ن ا ك و ل ا و ت ل ا  
 ا ه ب و ح و م س م ل و ص ق ل ا د و د ح ل ا ل ل ه ل ق  
 تى ل ا و رى م و ل ب م ل س ك و ل ة ل ة ل ه ب ق ن م  
 ن م ل ق ا و م ج ك/م ج ل ل م 0.5 ل ل ا ل ص ت  
 د ا ص ح ل ة ر ت فى فى و ص ق ل ا د و د ح ل ا  
 ت ر ح م ط ك ل ذ ك ة ب ا ت ل ة ل ن ا ت ل ا  
 دى ب م ن م ل ك ل ا ه ب و ح و م س م ل و ص ق ل ا د و د ح ل ا  
 0.35 ت ن ا ل ل ب س و م ي ت ب ا ه ي ق ب ت م ن ا  
 ل و ل ا د ا ص ح ل ة ر ت فى فم جك/م ج ل ل م  
 0.08 ل ل ص ت ل ل ا ف خ ن ا ل ل ف ت ذ خ ا و  
 رى خ ا ل ا د ا ص ح ل ة ر ت فى فم جك/م ج ل ل م  
 ل ل ا ل ص ت ل ل ا ف خ ن ا و ل و ل ا د ا ص ح ل ة ر ت ف ت ا ي و ت س م ل ا  
 م ه ض ا ف خ ن ل ز عى ن ل ك م ي  
 د ا ص ح ل ة ر ت فى ف 0.05 و 0.09 و 0.08  
 ق ر ت ف نى د ح ت ن ك م ي ل م ن ا ا ل ل ب م ل م ط ح ت  
 /ن و ب بى ر ت و (رى ا م ا و بى ر ت) ت ا ل م ا ع م ل ا ل ف  
 0.25 و 0.35 ت ن ا ك ل ل ا ف خ ن م ا ر ت ك ا  
 ل ب ق ن ه و ص ق ل ا د و د ح ل ة ل ف ن ا ل ل ف ت ح  
 ا ن ك ل و ف رى خ ا ل ل ا ص ح ل ة ر ت فى فم جك/م ج ل ل م ا ت ن ا ل ا ت ح ض و ا ك ل ذ ك س ك و ل ة ل ة ل ن ا  
 /ن و ب بى ر ت ( ق ل م ا ع م ل ا ل ل ي ب س ن ة -ع ف ت ر م  
 1.9 و 2.5 ت ن ا ل ط ر ت ك ن ا ي ت ب ا ه ي ق ب ت م ن ا  
 م ج ك/م ج ل ل م 0.71 ل ل ل ا ل ص ت ل ل ن ا ل ل ي ب س و م  
 ق ر ت ف نى د ح ت ن ك م ي ل م و ج ك/م ج ل ل م 1.5 و  
 ت ا ي ق ب ت م ه ض ا ف خ ن ا ل ا ت ح ض و ا ك ل ذ ك  
 س ك و ل ة ل ة ل ن ا ل ل ه ب ق ن ه و ص ق ل ا د و د ح ل ا  
 ت ا ي ق ب ت م ن ا ك ل ل فى ب ر ت ل ل ل ب م ل س ن ل ا ب و  
 1.7 و 1.9 ل ل ل ا ل ص ت ل ق ع ف ت ر ه ي ب م ل ا  
 ل و ل ا د ا ص ح ل ل ت ر ت فى فم جك/م ج ل ل م  
 ل ل ل ا ل ص ت ل ل ا ف خ ن ا ن ك ل ل ف ن ا ت ل ا و  
 د ا ص ح ل ة ر ت فى فم جك/م ج ل ل م 0.53 س ك و ر ب ن ي ف و تى او (ار ح م ك ل ل) س ك و تى م ا ي ت  
 د و د -ح ل ا ن ا تى ح (ا م وى 60) -ر -خ ا ت م ل ا  
 ة ل ة ل ف ب ق ن م ا ه ب و ح و م س م ل و ص ق ل ا  
 م ج ل ل م 0.55 ب م ل ا ن ه ل ل س ك و ل ا  
 ت ا ي ق ب ت م ب س ن ض ف خ ل ق ل و ا ح ل ف  
 ل د ا ب ت ل ا ت ا دى ب م ل ا م ن ا د -خ ت س ا م ت ا دى ب م ل ا  
 ت ض ف خ ن ا ك ل ذ ب ن ل دى ب م ل ل ل ع ل ب ا ت ل ا و  
 ل ل ل ي ب م ل ل ك ل ا ه ب و ص و م ل ة ا زى ك ر ت ل ا  
 ا ط و ح ل ل ا ف خ خ ل ا ت ن ا ل ا ت ر ه ظ ا و ف ص ن ل ا  
 ر ر ه ب ت ك ل ق ت ا دى ب م ل ا ل فى ق ب ت م ن ا ك ل ل ا تى ح ج ل ا ت ن ا ل ا ت ر ح م ط ك ل ذ ك ة ب ا ت ل ة ل ن ا ت ل ا  
 دى ب م ن م ل ك ل ا ه ب و ح و م س م ل و ص ق ل ا د و د ح ل ا  
 (ن و ب بى ر ت/رى ا م ا) ت ا ل م ا ع م ل ا ل ف رى ا م ا  
 تى (خ ا ل ل ي ب س و م/رى ا م ا) و (ا ر ت ك ا/رى ا م ا) و  
 ل ل ل ا ل ص ت ل ل ا ف خ ن ا و ل و ل ا د ا ص ح ل ة ر ت ف ت ا ي و ت س م ل ا  
 د ا ص ح ل ة ر ت فى ف 0.05 و 0.09 و 0.08  
 ن و ب بى ر تى ب م ل ل ل ي ق ب ت م و ت س م ن ا ك و ف رى خ ا ل ا  
 /ن و ب بى ر ت و (رى ا م ا و بى ر ت) ت ا ل م ا ع م ل ا ل ف  
 0.25 و 0.35 ت ن ا ك ل ل ا ف خ ن م ا ر ت ك ا  
 ل ب ق ن ه و ص ق ل ا د و د ح ل ة ل ف ن ا ل ل ف ت ح  
 ا ن ك ل و ف رى خ ا ل ل ا ص ح ل ة ر ت فى فم جك/م ج ل ل م ا ت ن ا ل ا ت ح ض و ا ك ل ذ ك س ك و ل ة ل ة ل ن ا  
 /ن و ب بى ر ت ( ق ل م ا ع م ل ا ل ل ي ب س ن ة -ع ف ت ر م  
 1.9 و 2.5 ت ن ا ل ط ر ت ك ن ا ي ت ب ا ه ي ق ب ت م ن ا  
 م ج ك/م ج ل ل م 0.71 ل ل ل ا ل ص ت ل ل ن ا ل ل ي ب س و م  
 ق ر ت ف نى د ح ت ن ك م ي ل م و ج ك/م ج ل ل م 1.5 و  
 ت ا ي ق ب ت م ه ض ا ف خ ن ا ل ا ت ح ض و ا ك ل ذ ك

1- Plant protection Res. Institute, Agric. Res. Center, Dokki, Egypt

2- Central Agricultural Pesticides Laboratory, Dokki, Giza; Egypt

(Received May 25, 2005)

(Accepted June 13, 2005)

داصلح أقلا تفسى فم جك/م جلم 0.07، 0.1، 0.5 نال ي بس وم) تال م اع مل و فبال ي بس و ي بم لا  
 تا-ي و تسم ض ف خ ن كم الك ل ذبو ة ري خال / نال ي بس وم) نوب ي ر ل تال ي بس وم) (ارتك ا  
 ن ع مطا م طل ا ر ا م ث ي ف ت ا د ي ب م ث ا ي ق ب ت م 0.1 ، 0.08 ، 0.05 ى ل ل ا ل ص ت ل (ري ا م دا  
 ة ئ ي ل ه ب ق ن م ا ه ب ح و م س م ل ع و ص ق ل ا د و د ح ل ا د ا ص ل ة ر ت ف ي ف ي ل ا و ت ل ا ى ل ع م ج ك / م ج ل م  
 ة ح ف الك م تال د ع م ا ل ف ت ر ا ك ل ذ ك س ك د و ك ل ه ت ا ي ق ب ت م ل ن ا ن الك ف ل ر ت ا ف ل ي ب ت س ن ل ا ب ة ر ي خ ال ا  
 ء ا ض ي ب ب ل ا ب ذ ل ا / ا ر ت ك ا) (ري ا م دا / ا ر ت ك ا) تال م اع مل ا ى ف  
 ى تال (نوب ي ر ت / ا ر ت ك ا) (نال ي بس وم

---

دي م ح ل ل ب ع ى د ن و ن ا د ي ز د : م ي ك ح ت  
 ى ل ز ي ز ع ل ا ب ي ح ح ت ف د ا