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ABSTRACT

In view of balady bread in Egypt is considered as backbone in diets, accordingly, in this study wheat flour (82%) extraction treated by microwave at 2,4 and 6 minutes, that target to modify starch wheat flour by heating. The rheological properties were studied by using farinograph and extensograph apparatus. Falling number and amylase percent were determined. Balady bread samples were sensory evaluated and staling rate was evaluated. The wheat flour, crust and crumb layers of balady bread were examined by scanning electron microscope (SEM). The results of farinograph indicated that, water absorption arrival time, dough development time and dough stability increased while dough weakening decreased in wheat flour treated by microwave compared with wheat flour untreated (control). The results of extensograph revealed that, dough extensibility, resistance to extension and dough energy increased while proportional number decreased in wheat flour treated by microwave compared with control. Falling number decreased while amylase percent increased when microwave treatment increased from 2 to 6 minutes. Sensory evaluation showed slight decrease in values of both color crust and color crumb while, values of both taste and flavor slightly increased but not significantly different, meanwhile, values of crumb distribution significantly increased in balady bread samples prepared from wheat flour treated by microwave compared with balady bread prepared from untreated wheat flour (control). Wheat flour treated by microwave led to delay staling of balady bread and imilorated freshness of balady bread. The examination by scanning electron microscope (SEM) showed that average size of starch granules increased from 26.10 μm in untreated wheat flour (control) to 29.37 μm in treated wheat flour by microwave at 6 minutes.

INTRODUCTION

Wheat is the most widely cultivated cereal in the world and its products are very important in human nutrition. In many parts of the world where wheat cannot be grown it is imported and is becoming an increasingly important part of the diet, especially for the urban population. However, importation of wheat, like that of all products, must be offset by adequate exports to prevent a drain on a country's foreign exchange. Bread, usually made from wheat flour, is a popular convenience food. When purchased, it saves time and fuel for poor families (Michael 1997).

The problem of wheat quality seems to be more complicated in Egypt because wheat or end-use products such as wheat flour are imported from several countries. Significant differentiation in the genetic and environmental origin of imported wheat grains (flour) as well as improper storage conditions affect the technological quality of flour. Considering these reasons, many studies have intentionally indicated the possibility of supplementation of wheat flour components in order to increase the quality of bakery products (Ragab et al 2005).

Microwave energy has been used in food applications mainly for its heating properties. It seems natural, therefore, to adapt microwave radiation to pasteurizing or even sterilizing foods at lower temperatures or in shorter times than conventional methods require. Several researchers have attempted to ascertain if such radiation has a non-thermal effect on microorganisms. Regardless of the mode of action, microwave radiation has been reported to be feasible for achieving longer shelf life of several food products. Theoretically, if the technique were adapted to commercial use, considerable energy saving could result (Joanna et al 2003).

Microwave energy is generated by the interaction of microwaves with charged and polar mole-
cules of food components. Heating by microwaves offer a lot of advantages such as less start-up time, shorter time of treatment, faster heating, energy saving, energy costs less, microwave equipment requires less space, selective heating, high nutritional quality final product and destruction of microorganisms by microwave (Sumnu, 2001).

The target of this research was studying the effect of microwave treatment on rheological properties, falling number and amylose content of wheat flour (82%) extraction, determination of staling rate and sensory evaluation of balady bread and examination of wheat flour, crust and crumb layers of balady bread by scanning electron microscope (SEM).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Wheat flour (82% extraction) was obtained in 2009 from Middle and West Delta Mills Company, Shebin El-Kom City, Minufiya Governorate, Egypt.

Methods

Microwave treatment

Wheat flour (82% extraction) was treated by Samsung microwave Made in Thailand, Model ME61245T microwave oven 1000 Watt output power and 2450 MHz microwave frequency. Microwave treatment was carried out for 2, 4 and 6 minutes.

Determination of gross chemical analysis

Moisture, protein, fat, fiber, and ash content were determined according to the methods of AOAC (2005).

Rheological properties

The rheological properties of the different dough were carried out using farinograph and extensograph apparatus according to the methods described in AACC (2002).

Falling number

The falling number was determined according to AACC (2002).

Determination of amylose

Amylose content was determined as described by William et al (1970). The absorbance was measured at 625nm using spectrophotometer shimadzu UN-1201 (made in Germany). Amylose content was calculated by standard curve using pure amylose.

Preparation of balady bread

One kg of wheat flour (82%) was mixed with water (that was previously identified on the basis farinograph test), 1.5% sodium chloride, 1.5% compressed yeast. The ingredients were kneaded for 20 min. in mixer laboratory mechanical. The dough was fermented for one hr. at 30°C under 85% relative humidity (RH). Dough was divided into 160 gm pieces. Pieces were moulded on a wooden board previously sprinkled with fine layer of bran and left for 15 min. at 30°C and 85% (RH). The pieces were flatten to about 20 cm diameter and 0.5 cm thickness then proofed at 30°C and 85% (RH) for 30 min. then baked at 450-500°C for 1-2 min. in electric oven. Bread loaves were allowed to cool on rack for 30 min. before organolyptic evaluation, then packed in polyethylene bags (Mohamed et al 1996).

Sensory evaluation

The balady bread loaves were sensory evaluated for crust color, crumb color, crumb distribution, taste and flavor according to method of Mohamed et al (1996).

Determination of staling rate

After baking the bread was cooled at room temperature. Bread freshness was determined at zero time, after 24 and 48% hr. of storage by Alkaline Water Retention Capacity (AWRC) according to the method of Kitterman and Rubenthaler (1971).

Scanning electron microscope (SEM)

Samples were examined according to the method of Lorenz (1979) using scanning electron microscope (Model JEOL, JEM-1230, Tokyo, Japan).
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Statistical Analysis

The obtained results were analyzed using Statistical Analysis System SAS (2001).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemical composition

An adequate knowledge of the chemical composition of food is vital to the health, well-being and safety of the consumer.

With respect to chemical composition of wheat flour (82% extraction), the results in Table (1) demonstrated that wheat flour contained 11.82% moisture, 11.55% protein, 1.80% fat, 1.74% fiber, 1.31% ash and 93.60% carbohydrates. These results are in accordance with those obtained by Mohamed et al. (2009). They found that wheat flour (82%) extraction contained 11.45% moisture, 11.51% protein, 1.72% fat, 1.89% fiber, 1.50% ash and 83.38% carbohydrates. The slight difference in two results may be ascribed to either wheat variety, growth environment, fertilizer application or time harvesting.

Rheological properties

The rheological measurements employed at the present time is capable of fully predicting the end performance of a flour and flour product. These measurements serve as indices when property interpreted, enhance the probability of satisfactory end-performance. It is apparent that the ultimate criteria of quality in flour are its conformance to physical requirement plus certain standards as established by a performance test.

Concerning farinograph parameters, the data in Table (2) indicated that microwave treatment for wheat flour at 2, 4 and 6 minutes caused increasing in water absorption whereas it found to be 59.3, 61.1 and 62.0% respectively compared with wheat flour untreated by microwave (control) (57.6%). This increase could be related to the treatment of wheat flour by microwave led to improve the hygroscopic properties of starch, therefore starch becomes more able to absorb water and increase in water binding capacity as mentioned by Lorenz (1981). Form the same table it could be observed that, microwave treatment for wheat flour at 2, 4 and 6 minutes slightly augmented arrival time which recorded 1.5, 1.5 and 1.5 min respectively compared with control (1min). This augmentation in arrival time may be due to the slow hydration and extended time required to develop optimum gluten net work in dough as reported by Lorenz (1981). It could be also noticed from same table that both dough development time and dough stability took the same trend whereas increased in wheat flour treated by microwave at 2, 4 and 6 minutes which recorded 2, 2 and 2.5 min respectively for dough development time compared with control which recorded 1.5 min, while recorded 3, 3.5 and 4 min for dough stability respectively compared with control (2.5 min). At the same time, dough weakening improved in wheat flour treated by microwave at 2, 4 and 6 minutes whereas it found to be 70, 70 and 60 BU respectively compared with control (80 BU). These results are in agreement with Daftary et al. (1970), who stated that strong flour usually require longer mixing times and stability with lower weakening property.

With regard to extensograph parameters, the present results in Table (3) declared that dough extensibility, resistance to extension and dough energy increased while proportional number decreased when wheat flour treated by microwave at 2, 4 and 6 minutes compared with wheat flour untreated (control). Whereas it for dough extensibility found to be 145, 155 and 160 mm compared with control (140 mm), for resistance to extension found to be 365, 370 and 380 BU respectively compared with control (355 BU), for proportional number found to be 2.51, 2.38 and 2.37 respectively compared with control (2.53) and for dough energy found to be 80.1, 83.4 and 85.2 cm² respectively compared with control (78.4 cm²). In the same context Betty et al. (2001) reported that the increasing of dough energy lead to increase in loaves volume. The treatment wheat flour by microwave lead to inhibition of protease enzyme, thus increase aggregation of particles proteins flour during dough preparation and increase ability gluten on preservation of carbon dioxide.

Falling number

Respecting falling number, the results in Table (4) revealed that falling number decreased when time microwave treatment of wheat flour increased at 2, 4 and 6 minutes which recorded 320, 317 and 311 second respectively compared with wheat flour untreated (control) (325 second), vice-versa, amylose content, increased when time microwave treatment of wheat flour increased at 2, 4 and 6
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minutes which recorded 25.81, 26.50 and 26.98% respectively compared with wheat flour untreated
Table 1. Chemical composition (%) of wheat flour (on dry weight basis)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Moisture</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Fat</th>
<th>Fiber</th>
<th>Ash</th>
<th>Carbohydrates**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wheat flour (82%)</td>
<td>11.82</td>
<td>11.55</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>83.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Each value is mean of duplicate determination.
** Calculated by difference.

Table 2. Farinograph parameters of wheat flour (82%) treated by microwave

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Water absorption (%)</th>
<th>Arrival time (min)</th>
<th>Dough development time (min)</th>
<th>Dough stability (min)</th>
<th>Dough weakening (BU)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wheat flour untreated by microwave (control)</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat flour treated by microwave at 2 minutes</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat flour treated by microwave at 4 minutes</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat flour treated by microwave at 6 minutes</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Extensograph parameters of wheat flour (82%) treated by microwave

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Dough extensibility (E, mm)</th>
<th>Resistance to extension (R, BU)</th>
<th>Proportional number (R/E)</th>
<th>Dough energy (cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wheat flour untreated by microwave (control)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>78.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat flour treated by microwave at 2 minutes</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>80.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat flour treated by microwave at 4 minutes</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>83.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat flour treated by microwave at 6 minutes</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>85.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Falling number and amylose content of wheat flour (82%) treated by microwave

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Falling number (sec)</th>
<th>Amylose (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wheat flour untreated by microwave (control)</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>25.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat flour treated by microwave at 2 minutes</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>25.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat flour treated by microwave at 4 minutes</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>26.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat flour treated by microwave at 6 minutes</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>26.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(control) (25.36). Baszczak et al (2004) reported that it is well known that there is a reversible relationship between falling number and alpha-amylase activity. Falling number is an practical indication used in the production of bakery products. It is considered as an indicator for amylase activity (the greater the amylolytic activity, the shorter is the time required) of wheat flour dough and the effect of addition other cereals. The standard falling number is between 250-350 sec. Increase activity of alpha-amylase lead to decrease of maximum viscosity and increase of both gelatinization starch and amylase units, hence, increase of dough on carbon dioxide production. On the other hand, the amylase content in wheat starch was ranged from 24.8 to 34.2% as reported by Sasaki and Matsuki (1998).

Sensory evaluation

Sensory evaluation is considered as an important indicator of potential consumer preferences. In spite of its short comings it will remain one of the most reliable quality assessment technique for food and food products in general and for bread and bakery products in particular.

In relation to sensory evaluation of balady bread samples, the data in Table (5) evinced that values of both crumb color and crust color slightly decreased while, values of both taste and flavor slightly increased but did not significantly different in balady bread samples prepared from wheat flour treated by microwave compared with balady bread prepared from wheat flour untreated by microwave (control). Meanwhile, values of crumb distribution significantly increased in balady bread samples prepared from wheat flour treated by microwave compared with balady bread prepared from wheat flour untreated by microwave (control). The results indicated that microwave treatment caused improving in freshness and delay or retarded staling of balady bread. This increasing in freshness may be attributed do to increasing in solubility of starch. The mechanism of the antistaling function of alpha-amylase is thought to be that starch chains that connect different crystalline domains are broken. The crystalline network will then be of a smaller dimension (Baszczak et al 2004).

Scanning electron microscope (SEM)

Examination of the microstructure of wheat flour and bread has been helpful in determining the changes that occur in physical structure during the various stages of bread preparation.

In respect of examination by scanning electron microscope (SEM), from Table (7) and Fig (a & b) deduced that average size of starch granules increased from 26.10 µm in wheat flour untreated by microwave (control) to 29.37 µm in wheat flour treated by microwave at 6 minutes. Also, Fig. (a & b) delineated that the shape of starch granules is oval. The microstructure of the crust and crumb layers of control bread (Fig. c & e) (prepared from wheat flour untreated by microwave) seemed to be less visible, cell matrix unequal and increasing in boring or piercing. The microstructure of the crust and crumb layers of balady bread (Fig. d & f) (prepared from wheat flour treated by microwave at 6 minutes) found to be the structural nearly uniform distribution when compared with control.

It can be concluded based on the findings of the present study that microwave treatment could be recommended for wheat flour because microwave treatment gave the satisfactory results and can be used safely for industrial application in order to decrease the waste of balady bread loaves, then decrease importe quantities wheat to save hard currency.
Table 5. Sensory evaluation of balady bread

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Crumb color (20)</th>
<th>Crust color (20)</th>
<th>Crumb Distribution (20)</th>
<th>Taste (20)</th>
<th>Flavor (20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bread (control)</td>
<td>18.93 ± 0.68a</td>
<td>18.73 ± 0.89a</td>
<td>17.46 ± 0.51b</td>
<td>18.10 ± 0.75a</td>
<td>18.17 ± 0.42a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bread (1)**</td>
<td>18.86 ± 0.71a</td>
<td>18.69 ± 0.75a</td>
<td>17.54 ± 0.65b</td>
<td>18.22 ± 0.91a</td>
<td>18.35 ± 0.58a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bread (2)**</td>
<td>18.81 ± 0.90a</td>
<td>18.66 ± 0.92a</td>
<td>17.63 ± 0.49ab</td>
<td>18.39 ± 0.87a</td>
<td>18.43 ± 0.62a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bread (3)**</td>
<td>18.77 ± 0.65a</td>
<td>18.60 ± 0.59a</td>
<td>18.61 ± 0.58a</td>
<td>18.55 ± 0.65a</td>
<td>18.61 ± 0.80a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.S.D. (0.05)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values are mean ± standard error
* Values in the same column with different superscript letters (a, b ...) are significantly different
** Bread 1, 2 & 3 prepared from wheat flour treated by microwave at 2, 4 and 6 minutes respectively

Table 6. Alkaline water retention capacity (AWRC) (%) of stored balady bread

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Zero time (fresh)</th>
<th>After 24 hr.</th>
<th>R.D (%)</th>
<th>After 48 hr.</th>
<th>R.D (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bread (control)</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bread (1)**</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bread (2)**</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bread (3)**</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* R.D = Rate of decrease.
** Bread 1, 2 & 3 prepared from wheat flour treated by microwave at 2, 4 and 6 minutes respectively.

Table 7. Size of wheat starch granules as affected by microwave treatment measured by scanning electron microscope (SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Size range (µm)</th>
<th>Average (µm)</th>
<th>Changes (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wheat flour untreated by microwave (control)</td>
<td>25 – 27.33</td>
<td>26.10</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat flour treated by microwave at 6 minutes</td>
<td>28.15 – 30.63</td>
<td>29.37</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. a. Scanning electron micrograph of wheat flour untreated by microwave (control).

Fig. b. Scanning electron micrograph of wheat flour treated by microwave at 6 minutes.

Fig. c. Scanning electron micrograph of crust bread prepared from wheat flour untreated by microwave (control).

Fig. d. Scanning electron micrograph of crust bread prepared from wheat flour treated by microwave at 6 minutes.

Fig. e. Scanning electron micrograph of crumb bread prepared from wheat flour untreated by microwave (control).

Fig. f. Scanning electron micrograph of crumb bread prepared from wheat flour treated by microwave at 6 minutes.
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